Instructions for Doing Group Article Reviews

### Instructions for Week 9

In Week 9, the remaining teams present. Half of these teams will do one article, half will do another. The articles are labelled Article 2a and Week 2b on Canvas. Here is the team allocation for them. Teams highlighted in yellow are presenting. Contact Claudio for any amendents.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | Abigale | 2a Week 6 |
| 3 | Team Avengers | 2a Week 6 |
| 5 | Number 5 | 2a Week 6 |
| 7 | Wakandans | 2a Week 6 |
| 9 | No 9 | 2b Week 6 |
| 11 | Seloitte | 2b Week 6 |
| 13 | Innovate | 2b Week 6 |
| 15 | 15 | 2b Week 6 |
| 17 | 17 | 2b Week 6 |
| 2 | Red Sun | 2a Week 9 |
| 4 | RedTECH | 2a Week 9 |
| 6 | 6HD | 2a Week 9 |
| 8 | Group 8 | 2a Week 9 |
| 10 | Spirit | 2a Week 9 |
| 12 | 12 | 2b Week 9 |
| 14 | Chicken Dinner | 2b Week 9 |
| 16 | 16 | 2b Week 9 |
| 18 | 22 | 2b Week 9 |

### Reviewing an article

Your Group is to review articles for a Chief Information Officer (CIO) who wants to understand how developments in services are relevant to her organisation’s strategic approach to IT.

You will review two articles during the semester: The first one in Week 6, the second one in Week 9.

To review an article, do the following:

1. Each group member must read the article specified for a particular week and then write a review of it by completing Article Review Grid on page 2.
2. Each group member must then ask another group member to comment on the review using the Peer Review Comments column in the grid.
3. Each group member then submits their individual review with those comments by the due date.
4. One member of the group must also prepare a one page text (not in the grid) summary of the group’s reviews and comments and submit it by the due date.
5. The group brings a hardcopy of that summary to class to submit to their tutor

### Presenting the review

1. All teams with an odd number will present Article no 1. in Week 6
2. All teams with an even number will present Article no 2a. or 2b in Week 9
3. For details on how to do this, see the document **Presenting your article review** in the **Assessment Information Module on Canvas**
4. Submit the slides by the due date

Group article review grid

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | ***Consultant’s Name*** | ***Consulting group’s name and number:*** | ***Peer reviewer’s comments*** |
| 1. ARTICLE | *1. Author, Title, date, publisher or journal of your article in APA6 format* | |  |
| 2. THE ARGUMENT | Explain the argument the author wants us to accept.  *Why does s/he think it is important? (*Look for this in the introduction, recommendations or conclusion) | |  |
| 3. STRUCTURE | Does the introduction tell us about the structure of the rest of the article? How/how not?.  How does the author develop her/his argument? Give examples.  Describe how the conclusion is consistent (or not) with the introduction and body of the article. | |  |
| 4. NATURE OF THE ARTICLE | Is this a scholarly source? Use the Library’s REVIEW checklist to tell us why/why not.  <https://library.sydney.edu.au/help/online-training/schvsnonsch/> | |  |
| 5. EVIDENCE: | What proof (evidence) does the author have to support her/his argument? Quote the page nos. where the author cites evidence).  *What scholarly sources does the author refer to?*  What data did s/he collect?  How does s/he distinguish between fact and opinion? Give an example.  Whose interests does the author represent? (i.e. who do they work for?) | |  |
| 6. IS IT PERSUASIVE? | Did the author persuade you to agree with her/him? Explain why/why not. Give an example.  What recommendation would you make to the CIO based on this article? Why? | |  |
| 7. RELEVANCE | Is this article relevant to you as an IT consultant in services? Why/why not? | |  |
| 8. DEVELOPMENTS | What has happened in this area since this article was written? Give examples (e.g. look at citations). | |  |
| 9. YOUR QUESTIONS | What question would you like to ask the author?  What was not clear in the article?  What would you like to tell the author? | |  |
| 10. REFLECTIONS | In preparing this review, what were your:  Joys:  Frustrations:  Learnings:  Other comments or questions: | | ***Peer reviewer’s name*** |